EFFECT OF DUAL INOCULATION (VA-MYCORRHIZAE AND RHIZOBIUM) AND ZINC FOLIAR APPLICATION ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF MUNGBEAN

[32]

Zaghloul¹, R.A.; M.A. El-Ghozoli² and S.A.S. Mehasen³

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were carried out during 2000 and 2001 seasons at the Experimental Farm, Fac. of Agric. Moshtohor to study the effect of dual inoculation with Rhizobium sp (Mungbean strain) and VA-mycorrhizae (VAM) Glomus aggregatum in presence of zinc application on nodulation, N2-ase activity, mycorrhizal root infection, growth and yield of mungbean plants. Results of this study showed that dual inoculation with Rhizobium and mycorrhizae gave higher records of mungbean nodulation, No-ase activity and mycorrhizal root infection percentage compared to individual inoculation with either Rhizobium or mycorrhizae. All tested parameters were increased with zinc foliar application especially when zinc was sprayed in a concentration of 20 ppm. Ammoniacal and nitrate nitrogen in rhizosphere were higher in rhizobial inoculated treatments than mycorrhizal inoculated ones. Whereas, available phosphorus and CO2 evolution were higher in mycorrhizal inoculated treatments than rhizobial inoculated ones. Mungbean growth characters were significantly increased in case of dual inoculation compared to individual inoculation and this was true in the two growing seasons. Also, mungbean growth characters were improved with zinc application either at 10 or 20 ppm as compared with no zinc application. The highest records of macro and micro-nutrients content (N,P and K) and (Fe, Zn and Cu), respectively in mungbean shoots were observed with dual inoculation and zinc application at 20 ppm. Also, macro and micronutrients content was higher during flowering stage than vegetative one. Dual inoculation gave the highest seed yield, biological yield and yield components as well as protein yield of mungbean plants and this was obvious with zinc application (20 ppm).

Key words: Rhizobium, Mycorrhizae, Mungbean, Inoculation, Zinc foliar application, Growth and Yield

¹⁻ Agric. Botany Dept, Fac. Agric. Moshtohor, Zagazing Univ., Egypt.

²⁻ Soils, Water and Environment Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

³⁻ Agronomy Dept., Fac. Agric. Moshtohor, Zagazing Univ., Egypt.

INTRODUCTION

Mungbean (Vigna radiata L. wilczek) is a new summer food leguminous crop newly introduced to Egypt. Mungbean received increasing attentien and successfully grown in different locations of many Governorates. Although mungbean plant is a summer crop, a low rate of nitrogen ferilizer may be needed for early growth and during the entire growing season.

For increasing mungbean productivity, rhizobial and mycorrhizal inoculation could be practiced. Several investigators showed that inoculation of leguminous crops with specific strains of rhizobia or bradyrhizobia improved growth and yield due to their N2-fixation and production of growth promoting substances. Johal & Chahal (1994); Abd El-Ghaffar & Sherif (1995); Deka & Kakati (1996); Attia et al (1997); Gomaa & El-Kholy (1999); Tantawy et al (1999) and Hessein (2000) found that rhizobial inoculation of mungbean significantly increased its growth characters, yield and yield components as well as protein, carbohydrate and oil contents.

Concerning the effect of VA-mycorrhizae inoculation, Ahmed (1995) and Tarafdar & Rao (1997) found that VAM stimulated growth, macro and micro-nutrients uptake, nodulation, N₂-ase activity and mycorrhizal root infection percentage as well as yield and yield components of mungbean plants.

The possibility of mycorrhizae for increasing the effectiveness of Rhizobium in mungbean and other legumes was reported by many investigators. Thakur & Panwar (1995); El-Ghandour et al (1997) and Mikhaeel et al (2000) suggested that the higher concentrations of

plant phosphorus which result from mycorrhizal colonization could enhance nodulation, N₂-fixation, growth characters, macro and micro-nutrients content, yield and yield components.

Moreover, Parasad & Ram (1991) and Abadi et al (1995) reported that the interactive effect of Bradyrhizobium inoculation and zinc application improved nodulation, growth and yield of mungbean and soybean plants. Also, Amara and Nasr (1995) found that combined incoulation of the N₂-fixing bacteria and phosphate dissolvers + zinc application gave the highest seed yield of soybean.

The present study aimed to investigate the effect of dual inoculation with *Rhizobuium* sp and VA-mycorrhizae in the presence of zinc application on nodulation, N₂-fixation, growth and yield of mungbean c.v kawmy-1 plants.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out during the summer seasons of 2000 and 2001 at the Experimental Farm, Fac. of Agric. Moshtohor to study the effect of dual inoculation with Rhizobium sp (mungbean strain) and VA-mycorrhizae (Glomus aggregatum) in presence of zinc application on growth and yield of mungbean c.v kawmy-1. Physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil are presented in Table (1).

Physical analysis was determined according to Jackson (1973). Whereas, chemical analysis was estimated according to Black et al (1982).

Rhizobium sp (Mungbean strain) was obtained from Biofertilizers Production Unit, Soils, Water and Environment Res. Inst., Agric. Research Center, Giza

Table 1. Some physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil

| Parameters | Se | ason | Parameters | Se | ason |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|--------------------|-------|-------|
| Taraneters | 2000 | 2001 | - Farameters | 2000 | 2001 |
| Particle size distribution(%): | | | Soluble ions meq/l | | |
| Coarse sand | 16.70 | 15.48 | Ca ⁺² | 11.3 | 11.6 |
| Fine sand | 14.70 | 13.62 | Mg ⁺² | 7.24 | 7.08 |
| Silt | 15.20 | 16.10 | Na ⁺ | 4.57 | 5.04 |
| Clay | 53.40 | 54.80 | K ⁺ | 0.89 | 0.78 |
| Textural class | Clay | Clay | CO ₃ -2 | | |
| Organic Matter (%) | 1.96 | 2.01 | HCO ₃ | 9.75 | 10.2 |
| P ^H (1:2.5 suspension) | 8.11 | 8.20 | CI | 7.60 | 7.83 |
| Total -N (%) | 0.19 | 0.23 | SO ₄ -2 | 6.65 | 6.47 |
| Total-P (%) | 0.21 | 0.25 | Microelements | | |
| Total-K (%) | 0.63 | 0.71 | Available Fe (ppm) | 21.10 | 22.00 |
| CaCO ₃ (%) | 0.48 | 0.53 | Available Zn (ppm) | 4.21 | 5.10 |
| E.C (dsm ⁻¹) | 2.40 | 2.45 | Available Mn (ppm) | 3.60 | 3.82 |
| | | | Available Cu (ppm) | 2.44 | 2.63 |

Egypt. Whereas, mycorrhizal fungus (Glomus aggregatum) was obtained from Agric. Microbiol. Dept, Soils, Water and Environment Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

Inocula preparation

For preparation of rhizobial inoculum, yeast mannitol broth medium (Vincent, 1970) was inoculated with effective strain of *Rhizobium* sp, then incubated at 32°C for 7 days.

For preparation of Glomus aggregatum inoculum, pots of 30 cm diameter were filled with autoclaved soil. The soil of each pot was inoculated with VAM fungus Glomus aggregatum. Five onion seedlings were transplanted in each pot as a host plant. After 12 weeks, spores of VAM were collected from the rhizosphere and roots of onion were extracted by wet sieving and decanting technique (Gerdmann and Nicolson, 1963). VAM spores were counted by the method described by Daniels and Skipper (1982).

Except for control treatments, mungbean seeds were successively washed with water and air dried. Then, seeds were soaked in cell suspension of *Rhizo*bium sp (1m1 contains about 9.2X10⁷ viable cells) for 30 min. Gum arabic (16%) was added as an adhesive agent prior to inoculation. The inoculated seeds were air dried for one hour before sowing. In uninoculated treatments with Rhizobium, mungbean seeds were treated by using uninoculated N- deficient medium instead of Rhizobium culture.

Before cultivation, the experimental soil plots were supplemented with calcium superphosphate at a rate of 30 kg P_2O_5 / fed.

Regarding the mycorrhizal treatments, plots which have been prepared for inoculation with VA-mycorrhizae were provided with a mycorrhizal spore suspension. The extracted mycorrhizal spore suspension containing about 150-180 spores/ml was used as a standard inoculum (20 ml/m²) for mycorrhizal treatments. Ammonium nitrate (33.5 % N) was applied at a rate of 20 kg N/fed to all treatments in two equal doses i.e before the first and second irrigation.

With regard to zinc application treatments, zinc sulphate was used as a source of zinc in two concentrations (10 and 20 ppm). The amount of zinc sulphate was used in a rate of 200 L/fed by spraying onto the plants at the 30th and 60th day from planting.

Experimental design

A split plot desigen with four replicates was used in this study. The main plots were assigned to zinc foliar application (Zn0, Zn1, and Zn2). While, the four dual inoculation treatments (R0M0, R0M1, R1M0 and R1M1) were randomly distributed in the sub plots.

Cultivation process

Cultivation process was performed by sowing four inoculated or uninoculated seeds per hill. The sowing dates were 19th and 24th of May in 2000 and 2001 seasons, respectively. Planting was done at both sides of each ridge. The plot area was 10.5 m² (3x3.5 m) with five ridges. The distance between ridges was 60 cm and hills was 20 cm apart. Before the 1st irrigation, plants were thinned to two plants per hill. The preceding crop was clover in both seasons.

Sampling and determinations

Rhizosphere soil samples of the developed plants were taken at vegetative (35 days) and flowering (75 days) stages. The samples were analyzed for CO2 evolution according to Page et al (1982), NH₄-N and NO3-N according to Bremner keeny (1965) and and available phosphorus according to (A.P.H.A, 1992).

Data of nodules number, nodules dry weight/plant, N₂-ase activity of nodules and mycorrhizal root infection were estimated during flowering stage at the 75th day after cultivation. N₂-ase activity was estimated according to Hardy et al (1973). Mycorrhizal root infection of mungbean plants was assessed microscopically according to Mosse and Giovanetti (1980).

Total nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content were determined in mungbean shoots at 35 and 75 days after planting according to A.O.A.C (1980), A.P.H.A (1992) and Dewis & Freitas (1970), respectively. Also, iron, zinc and copper were determined in mungbean shoots at 35 and 75 days after planting by the atomic absorption, Perkin Elmer model 3110

Crude protein was estimated in mungbean seeds. Crude protein was calculated according to the follwing equation:

% Crude protein = % total nitrogen x 6.25 (A.O.A.C., 1980).

Grwoth characters

After 75 days from sowing, ten guarded plants were chosen at random then plant height, number of branches/plant, dry weights of stem, leaves and pods were estimated.

Yield and its components

At harvesting, ten guarded plants were used to estimate number of pods/plant, pods weight/plant, 1000-seed weight, seed yield/plant. Seed yield/fed and biological yield/fed were recorded from three inner ridges from each experimental plot, then protein yield/fed was calculated.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out for growth and yield characters according to Snedecor and Cochran (1989). The differences between the means values of various treatments were compared by Duncan multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of zinc foliar application, dual inoculation and their interaction on nodulation, N₂-ase activity and mycorrhizal root infection of mungbean plants.

Data in Table (2) show that nodules number and dry weight were highly increased in rhizobial inoculated treatments compared to uninoculated ones. Also, rhizobial inoculated treatments showed higher number and dry weight of nodules than mycorrhizal inoculated ones.

Dual inoculation with *Rhizobium* and mycorrhizae gave the highest number and dry weights of nodules and this was observed in the two growing seasons.

Data in Table (2) also show that mungbean plants sprayed with zinc in concentration of either 10 ppm or 20 ppm gave higher nodules number and dry weights than nonsprayed Rhizobial inoculated plants which sprayed with zinc gave higher records of nodules number and dry weights than mycorrhizal inoculated ones. observation was consistent in the two zinc concentrations.

Generally, zinc spraying at 20 ppm showed higher records of mungbean nodulation and the highest records of nodulation were observed in dual inoculation treatment with *Rhizobium* and mycorrhizae.

Data also show that N₂-ase activity was higher in case of rhizobial inoculated treatments than mycorrhizal inoculated ones. This result is in agreement with the results obtained by Johal & Chahal (1994); Deka & Kakati (1996) and Gomaa & El-Kholy (1999) who noticed an increase of mungbean nodulation and N₂-ase activity due to rhizobial inoculation.

It is not a surprising result that mycorrhizal root infection percentage was higher in case of mycorrhizal inoculated treatments compared to

Effect of zinc foliar application, dual inoculation and their interaction on nodulation, N2-ase activity and mycorrhizal root infection of mungbean plants after 75 days of cultivation (flowering stage) Table 2.

| 1 | | | 1.1. | M oco octivity (n | moles | Mycorfilzai 1001 inicensii | of Interested |
|-------|-------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------------|
| No. 0 | No. of nod- | Dry weight of nodules | of nodules | C, H, /hr/g dry nodules) | dules) | (%) | |
| ules | ules/plant | (Amil) | 2000 | 2000 | 1000 | 2000 | 2001 |
| 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 1007 | | | 0, | 00 |
| 1 | 10 | 141 | 160 | | 5.9/ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01 | | 106 | 210 | | 30.1 | 31 | 33 |
| | 67 | 130 | 264 | | 34.6 | 14 | 15 |
| 28 | 32 | 249 | 200 | 00 4 | 93.7 | 40 | 43 |
| 36 | 35 | 291 | 300 | 1 | 027 | 22.8 | 24.8 |
| 25.3 | 27.3 | 219 | 235 | | 0.00 | 10 | 12 |
| 20 | 21 | 186 | 198 | | 50.3 | 35 | 41 |
| 26 | 28 | 206 | 218 | 9.08 | 85.4 | 16 | . 00 |
| 38 | 36 | 256 | 278 | | 8.60 | 17 | 63 |
| 42 | 45 | 348 | 360 | | 112.3 | 305 | 22.5 |
| 31.5 | 32.5 | 249 | 264 | 93.9 | 0.86 | 30.3 | 5.00 |
| 33 | 25 | 231 | 245 | 82.4 | 91.3 | 12 | 14 |
| , , | 3 2 | 263 | 278 | | 118.5 | 40 | 44 |
| 200 | 5 6 | 336 | 351 | 133.1 | 142.3 | 18 | 22 |
| 40 | 7 5 | 447 | 470 | | 151.1 | 70 | 74 |
| 48 | 35 | 0.0 | 326 | | 125.8 | 35.0 | 38.5 |
| 35.0 | 38.0 | 318 | 330 | | 7 48 | 9.3 | 11.3 |
| 19.3 | 21.7 | 186 | 201 | | 040 | 35.3 | 39.3 |
| 25.7 | 28.0 | 222 | 235 | | 1133 | 160 | 18.3 |
| 35.3 | 36.7 | 280 | 298 | 100.3 | 1100 | 57.0 | 0.09 |
| 42.0 | 44.0 | 360 | 379 | 116.1 | 0.611 | | |

Ro, Non rhizobial inoculation. Mo, Non mycorrhizal inoculation. Zno, Non zinc application.

R1, Rhizobial inoculation. M1, Mycorrhizal inoculation. Zn1, Zinc application (10 ppm).

Zn2, Zinc application (20 ppm).

rhizobial inoculated ones. Also, obtained data clearly indicate that mycorrhizal root colonization of mungbean plants was highly differed since the VAM inoculated mungbean plants grown showed higher records of root infection percentage than uninoculated ones which depended on the in the soil. Low indigenous VAM percentage of mycorrhizal infection in the uninoculated plants indicate that the native VAM fungi are presented in the soil but in a low density. These results are in harmony with those reported by Ahmed (1995), Tarafdar & Rao (1997) and Mikhaeel et al (2000).

The highest records of N₂-ase activity and mycorrhizal root infection were observed in case of dual inoculation compared to inoculation with either Rhizobium or VAM separately. Increasing the effectiveness of Rhizobium when combined with mycorrhizae was reported by several investigators on mungbean and other legumes (Thakur & Panwar, 1995; El-Ghandour et al 1997 and Mikhaeel et al 200).

Data also indicate that N₂-ase activity and mycorrhizal root infection were higher when mungbean plants were sprayed with zinc than nonsprayed ones. Zinc spraying with 20 ppm solution gave higher N₂-ase activity and mycorrhizal root infection levels than zinc spraying with 10 ppm solution and this was observed in the two growing seasons.

With regard to the iteraction effect, data in Table (2) indicate that mungbean plants inoculated with *Rhizobium* + mycorrhizae and sprayed with zinc showed increases in mungbean nodulation, N₂-ase activity and mycorrhizal root infection percentage compared to either mungbean inoculation or zinc foliar

application separately. The highest records of abovementioned parameters were observed in dual inoculation and zinc foliar application (20 ppm) treatments.

Generally, obtained data show that mungbean nodulation, N₂-ase activity were higher in the 2nd season than in the 1st one.

Effect of zinc foliar application, dual inoculation and their interaction on rhizospheric NH₄-N, NO₃-N, available phosphorus and CO₂ evolution.

Data presented in Table (3) show the changes of nitrogen forms, available-P and CO2 evolution in rhizosphere soil of mungbean plants. Obtained data emphasize that ammoniacal and nitrate nitrogen content in rhizosphere of mungbean plants were increased in the treatments inoculated with either Rhizobium or mycorrhizae compared to uninoculated ones. Rhizobial inoculated treatments showed higher levels of NH4-N and NO3-N than mycorrhizal inoculated ones. The highest values of NH4-N and NO3-N were obtained in case of dual inoculation with Rhizobium and mycorrhizae compared to inoculation with either Rhizobium or VAM fungus singularly. This may be due to the synergistic effect between Rhizobium and VAM fungus. Rhizobial inoculated treatments which sprayed with zinc either in 10 or 20 ppm concentration gave higher records of NH4-N and NO3-N than mycorrhizal inoculated ones.

Data in Table (3) also indicate that zinc application in 20 ppm concentration showed higher levels of NH₄-N and NO₃-N in rhizosphere in comparison with zinc application at 10 ppm. This may be due to

Table 3. Effect of zinc foliar application, dual inoculation and their interaction on nitrogen forms, available phosphorus content and CO2 evolution in rhizosphere soil of mungbean plants

| i | | | I-YHN | NH4-N (ppm) | Service of the Servic | | NO ₃ - | ·N (ppm) | |
|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------|--|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Zinc | Dual | Vegetati | ive stage | Flowering | Stage | Vegetat | Vegetative stage | age Flowering | ing stage |
| spraying | inoculation | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 |
| | Ro+Mo | 75.3 | 77.8 | 77.2 | | 73.2 | 78.3 | 6.97 | 82.2 |
| | Ro+M1 | 82.4 | 84.6 | 85.2 | | 80.7 | 84.2 | 90.4 | 97.0 |
| Zno | R1+Mo | 88.7 | 91.0 | 6.06 | | 91.1 | 8.76 | 104.2 | 114.4 |
| | RI+MI | 112.3 | 112.3 114.5 | 119.2 | 127.6 | 101.0 | 108.2 | 112.5 | 121.8 |
| | Mean | 89.7 | 92.0 | 93.1 | 1- | 86.5 | 92.1 | 0.96 | 103.9 |
| | Ro+Mo | 78.1 | 81.0 | 80.1 | | 84.1 | 80.2 | 86.2 | 85.7 |
| | Ro+M1 | 85.6 | 88.2 | 88.2 | | 90.3 | 90.2 | 91.1 | 92.8 |
| Zn1 | R1+Mo | 91.2 | 92.5 | 93.1 | | 107.2 | 101.4 | 113.2 | 116.0 |
| | RI+MI | 115.3 | 120.1 | 121.1 | | 118.2 | 115.9 | 125.3 | 133.1 |
| | Mean | 92.6 | 95.5 | 95.6 | 100 | 100.0 | 6.96 | 104.0 | 106.9 |
| | Ro+Mo | 81.9 | 83.4 | 87.1 | | 85.2 | 83.1 | 87.3 | 87.6 |
| | Ro+M1 | 0.06 | 91.6 | 90.5 | | 93.6 | 94.4 | 94.2 | 8.96 |
| Zuz | R1+Mo | 93.6 | 94.3 | 95.3 | | 107.8 | 9.66 | 119.6 | 125.6 |
| | RI+MI | 120.2 | 126.1 | 124.8 | | 120.3 | 121.8 | 128.7 | 138.2 |
| | Mean | 96.4 | 6.86 | 99.4 | 1000 | 101.7 | 7.66 | 107.5 | 112.1 |
| | Ro+Mo | 78.4 | 80.7 | 81.5 | | 80.8 | 80.5 | 83.5 | 85.2 |
| Over all | Ro+M1 | 0.98 | 88.1 | 0.88 | | 88.2 | 9.68 | 6.16 | 95.5 |
| mean | R1+Mo | 91.2 | 92.6 | 93.1 | | 102.0 | 9.66 | 112.3 | 118.7 |
| | RI+MI | 115.9 | 120.2 | 121.7 | | 113.2 | 115.3 | 122.2 | 131.0 |

Fable 3. Cont.

| | | | Availab | Available-P(ppm) | | 00 | 2 evoluted (| CO ₂ evoluted (µg/g dry soil/hr) | oil/hr) |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|------------------|---|-----------------|
| Zinc | Dual | Vegetati | ve stage | Flowerin | Flowering stage | Vegetat | Vegetative stage | Flower | Flowering stage |
| spraying | inoculation | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 |
| | Ro+Mo | 502 | 54.6 | 63.3 | 52.3 | 21.5 | 26.7 | 28.7 | 32.3 |
| | Ro+M1 | 914 | 92.1 | 6.96 | 7.96 | 41.8 | 44.5 | 47.2 | 58.3 |
| Zn ₀ | R1+Mo | 81.7 | 85.8 | 87.9 | 89.3 | 36.2 | 39.4 | 42.5 | 48.1 |
| | RI+MI | 101.7 | 101.7 105.4 | 109.1 | 9.801 | 53.8 | 55.0 | 58.1 | 6.09 |
| 2 | Mean | 81.3 | 84.5 | 89.3 | 86.7 | 38.3 | 41.4 | 44.1 | 49.9 |
| | Ro+Mo | 61.1 | 63.2 | 65.2 | 68.2 | 28.9 | 31.4 | 40.9 | 46.9 |
| | Ro+M1 | 90.2 | 97.4 | 98.5 | 100.3 | 46.3 | 48.2 | 8.99 | 59.4 |
| Znı | R1+Mo | 84.1 | 9.98 | 86.4 | 92.1 | 40.7 | 42.9 | 48.8 | 55.3 |
| | R1+M1 | 113.2 | 118.7 | 116.1 | 121.7 | 99.0 | 59.2 | 65.0 | 62.3 |
| 2 | Mean | 87.2 | 91.5 | 91.6 | 95.6 | 43.0 | 45.4 | 52.9 | 56.0 |
| | Ro+Mo | 63.4 | 6.89 | 71.9 | 74.9 | 30.0 | 33.6 | 35.8 | 37.8 |
| | Ro+MI | 93.4 | 9.66 | 98.1 | 107.9 | 49.9 | 49.3 | 54.6 | 58.2 |
| Znz | R1+Mo | 85.0 | 88.5 | 88.7 | 96.2 | 43.1 | 46.6 | 52.3 | 56.2 |
| | R1+M1 | 120.3 | 128.5 | 129.7 | 132.1 | 61.7 | 59.4 | 8.89 | 63.5 |
| 1 | Мезп | 90 5 | 96.4 | 97.1 | 102.8 | 46.2 | 47.2 | 52.9 | 54.0 |
| • | Ro+Mo | 58.2 | 62.2 | 8.99 | 65.1 | 26.8 | 30.6 | 35.1 | 390 |
| Overall | Ro+M1 | 91.7 | 96.4 | 87.6 | 101.6 | 46.0 | 47.3 | 52.9 | 9.85 |
| mean | R1+Mo | 83.6 | 87.0 | 87.7 | 92.5 | 40.0 | 43.0 | 47.9 | 53.2 |
| | R1+M1 | 111.7 | 117.5 | 118.3 | 120.8 | 57.2 | 57.9 | 64.0 | 62.2 |

Abbreviations: as those stated for Table (2).

the higher number and dry weights of developed nodules as well as N₂-ase which were observed in case of zinc application treatments at 20 ppm (Table, 2).

Ammoniacal and nitrate nitrogen content was higher during flowering stage than vegetative one. The same trend of results was observed with all treatments as well as in the two growing seasons. The higher levels of NH4-N and NO3-N recorded during the flowering stage could be attributed to the high multiplication of ammonifying and nitrifying bacteria during flowering stage as a result of the qualitative and quantitative positive changes in nature of the plant root exudates during different growth stages. This result is in accordance with the findings of Neweigy et al (1997) and Hanafy et al (1998) who found that the ammonifying and nitrifying bacterial densities in rhizosphere were higher during heading stage of plant growth rather than other plant growth stages.

Data also show that available phosphorus and CO₂ evolution in rhizosphere were increased with either mycorrhizal or rhizobial inoculated treatments in comparison with uninoculated ones. Mycorrhizal inoculated treatments gave higher records of available-P and CO₂ evolution than rhizobial inoculated ones. Moreover, dual inoculation with *Rhizobium* and *Glomus aggregatum* showed the highest records of available-P and CO₂ evolution. This result was consistent in the two growing seasons.

Regarding the effect of zinc application, obtained data show that zinc spraying of mungbean plants in a 20 ppm concentration gave higher records of available-P and CO₂ evolution than zinc application at 10 ppm. This may be due to the higher rates of mycorrhizal root infection which was observed in the treatments of zinc application at 20 ppm (Table, 2). Available phosphorus and CO2 evolution were also higher during flowering stage than vegetative one. This results could be attributed to the higher multiplication rate of phosphate dissolving bacteria and mycorrhizal root infection which tended to increase progressively with plant growth. These results are in harmony with those reported by Ahmed (1995); Neweigy et al (1997), Mikhaeel et al (2000) and Abou-Aly & Gomaa (2002) who found that available phosphorus content increased during flowering stage when the plants were inoculated with phosphate solubilizing microorganisms.

With respect to the interaction effect, results in Table (3) emphasize that dual inoculation with Rhizobium and mycorrhizae combined with zinc foliar application gave higher rhizospheric NH₄ – N, NO₃- N, available – P and CO₂ evolution than inoculation only or zinc foliar application singularly. The highest values of tested parameters were observed in the treatment of dual inoculation combined with zinc foliar application (20 ppm).

Effect of zinc foliar application, dual inoculation and their interaction on growth characters of mungbean plants

Data recorded in Table (4) emphasize that growth characters of mungbean plants i.e. plant height, number. of branches/plant and dry weights of stem, leaves and pods / plant were significantly increased with either rhizobial or mycorrhizal inoculation compared to uninoculated controls. Several investigators

Table 4. Effect of zinc foliar application, dual inoculation and their interaction on growth characters of mungbean plants

| Zinc | Dual | Plant | Plant height (cm) | No. of branches per plant | ranches | Dry we stem (g | Dry weight of stem (g/plant) | Dry weight of leaves (g/plant) | ight of g/plant) | Dry weig (g/p) | Dry weight of pods (g/plant) |
|-----------------|-------------|-------|-------------------|------------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| spraying | inoculation | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 |
| | Ro+Mo | 898 | 999 | 3.5f | 3.1c | 8.88 | 10.4g | 12.9f | 9.6a | 5.7f | 6.5a |
| 1 | Ro+M1 | 11116 | 109h | 4.3cde | 3.9cd | 10.7c | 11.9ef | 17.0bcd | 10.6a | 6.5e | 6.9a |
| Zn ₀ | R1+Mo | 113cd | 1111g | 4.4abcd | 4.0bc | 11.1cd | 12.5de | 17.2abc | 11.1a | 7.8c | 7.5a |
| | RI+MI | 115c | 121c | 4.5abcd | 4.5a | 11.2bc | 13.7c | 17.8ab | 11.4a | 8.1c | 8.3a |
| 4 | Mean | 107C | 110C | 4.2B | 3.9B | 10.5B | 12.1C | 16.2C | 10.7B | 7.0C | 7.30 |
| | Ro+Mo | J86 | 105i | 4.1c | 3.7d | 10.5f | 11.4f | 14.5e | 10.1a | 6.3e | 6.3a |
| | Ro+M1 | 108e | 112g | 4.4bcd | 4.1bc | 10.8e | 12.4de | 16.8cd | 10.9a | 7.2d | 7.5a |
| Znı | R1+Mo | 1196 | 115e | 4.5abcd | 4.2b | 11.0d | 12.9d | 17.6ab | 11.1a | 7.8c | 7.8a |
| | RI+MI | 118b | 125b | 4.7a | 4.5a | 11.4ab | 14.4b | 18.0a | 12.2a | 8.7b | 9.1a |
| - | Mean | 110B | 114B | 4.4A | 4.1A | 10.9A | 12.8B | 16.7B | 11.1AB | 7.5B | 7.6B |
| | Ro+Mo | 108e | 108h | 4.3cdc | 3.9cd | 10.3f | 11.9ef | 16.4d | 10.3a | 6.8de | 6.7a |
| | Ro+M1 | 113cd | 114f | 4.3cde | 4.2ab | 10.8e | 12.5de | 17.1bcd | 11.2a | 7.90 | 7.9a |
| Zn2 | R1+Mo | 118b | 118d | 4.6abc | 4.3ab | 11.1cd | 13.0d | 17.7ab | 11.2a | 7.90 | 8.1a |
| | RI+MI | 123a | 131a | 4.6ab | 4.5a | 11.5a | 15.6a | 18.0a | 13.0a | 9.5a | 9.7a |
| - | Mean | 115A | 118A | 4.4A | 4.2A | 10.9A | 13.2A | 17.3A | 11.4A | 8.0A | 8.1A |
| | Ro+Mo | 086 | 104D | 3.9C | 3.5C | 9.9D | 11.2D | 14.6C | 10.0C | 6.3D | 6.5D |
| Over all | Ro+M1 | 1110 | 1111 | 4.3B | 4.0B | 10.8C | 12.3C | 17.0B | 10.9B | 7.2C | 7.4C |
| mean | R1+Mo | 116B | 115B | 4.5A | 4.1B | 11.1B | 12.8B | 17.5A | 11.1B | 7.8B | 7.8B |
| | RI+MI | 118A | 126A | 4.5A | 4.5A | 11.4A | 14.6A | 17.9A | 12.2A | 8.8A | 9.0A |

Abbreviations: as those stated for Table (2).

Means followed by the same letter (s) within each column, are not significantly different from each other at 5% level.

showed that inoculation of leguminous crops with either rhizobia or mycorrhizae improved plant growth characters (Trafdar & Rao, 1997; Gomaa and El-Kholy, 1999; Hessein, 2000 and Abd El-Fattah, 2001).

Generally, significant increases in most plant growth characters were observed with rhizobial inoculation compared to mycorrhizal inoculation. This result was true in the two growing seasons. Mungbean growth characters were significantly increased in case of dual inoculation with Rhizobium and Glomus aggregatum and this was observed in the two growing seasons. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Thakur & Panwar (1995); El-Ghandour et al (1997) and Mikhaeel et al (2000) who demonstrated the possibility of mycorrhizal inoculation to increase the effectiveness of Rhizobium in mungbean and other legumes. They suggested that the higher concentration of plant phosphorus which results from mycorrhizal colonization could enhance nodulation, N2fixation and growth performance of the inoculated plants. Moreover, the positive effect of mycorrhizae on the growth of different plants was demonstrated by several workers (Yassen, 1993; Fares, 1997 and Zaghloul, 1999). The stimulatory effect of mycorrhizae can be attributed to that mycorrhizae promote absorption of nutrients especially phosphorus and micro-nutrients from soil by the growing plants.

Data in Table (4) also show that zinc application either in 10 ppm or 20 ppm concentrations improved mungbean growth characters compared to no zinc application. Mungbean growth characters were slightly differed when zinc was

sprayed at either 10 or 20 ppm and this was true in the two growing seasons.

Regarding the interaction effect, obtained data show that mungbean plants inoculated with both of *Rhizobium and* mycorrhizae accompanied with zinc foliar application showed significant increases in most studied growth characters compared to either mungbean inoculation or zinc foliar application separately. A high significant increase of plant growth was obtained in inoculated treatments with *Rhizobium* + mycorrhizae and sprayed with zinc in a concentration of 20 ppm.

These results are in accordance with those obtained by Parasad & Ram (1991) and Abadi et al (1995) who found that the interactive effect of Bradyrhizobium inoculation and zinc application improved nodulation and growth of mungbean and soybean plants.

Effect of zinc foliar application, dual inoculation and their interaction on macro-nutrients content of mungbean shoots

It is obvious from data presented in Table (5) that total nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in shoots of mungbean plants were increased in the treatments inoculated with either Rhizoor mycorrhizae compared to uninoculated ones. Rhizobial inoculated treatments gave higher content of total than mycorrhizal inoculated nitrogen mycorrhizal inoculated ones. While, treatments gave higher records of total phosphorus and potassium compared to rhizobial inoculated ones.

Macro-nutrients content (NPK) of mungbean plants were higher in case of

Table 5. Effect of zinc foliar application, dual inoculation and their interaction on macro-nutrients content of mungbean shoots

| i | , | | Nitrog | (%) ua | | | Phosph | Phosphorus (%) | | | Potassi | Potassium (%) | |
|----------|-------|------|------------|-----------------|---------|------|------------|----------------|-----------|------|------------|---------------|-----------|
| Zinc | Dual | Vege | Vegetative | ative Flowering | owering | Vege | Vegetative | Flow | Flowering | Vege | Vegetative | Flow | Flowering |
| | | 0000 | 2000 | ne occo | 190 | 30 | stage | St | stage | STS | stage | St | stage |
| | | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 |
| | Ro+Mo | 1.66 | 1.75 | 1.77 | 1.82 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 1.60 | 1.63 | 1.74 | 1.77 |
| Zno | Ro+M1 | 1.85 | 2.13 | 1.96 | 2.24 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 1.88 | 1.90 | 1.91 | 1.96 |
| | RI+Mo | 1.92 | 2.18 | 1.98 | 2.30 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 1.60 | 1.70 | 1.88 | 1.92 |
| | RI+MI | 2.10 | 2.25 | 2.17 | 5.69 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 2.85 | 2.78 | 3.01 | 2.84 |
| | Mean | 1.88 | 2.08 | 1.97 | 2.26 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 1.98 | 2.00 | 2.14 | 212 |
| | Ro+Mo | 2.08 | 2.30 | 2.18 | 2.46 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 2.09 | 2.15 | 2.33 | 2.40 |
| Zni | Ro+M1 | 2.23 | 2.33 | 2.41 | 2.48 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 2.78 | 2.64 | 2.90 | 271 |
| | RI+Mo | 5.69 | 2.74 | 2.77 | 2.81 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 2.63 | 2.55 | 2.88 | 2.67 |
| | RI+MI | 2.94 | 2.86 | 3.29 | 3.41 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 2.96 | 2.95 | 3.10 | 2.98 |
| | Mean | 2.49 | 2.56 | 2.66 | 2.79 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 2.62 | 2.57 | 2.80 | 260 |
| | Ro+Mo | 2.30 | 2.46 | 2.49 | 2.74 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 2.23 | 2.16 | 2.48 | 232 |
| Zn | Ro+M1 | 2.38 | 2.80 | 2.51 | 2.91 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.39 | 0.42 | 2.56 | 2.19 | 2.70 | 2 94 |
| i | RI+Mo | 2.71 | 2.83 | 2.99 | 2.97 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 2.52 | 2.74 | 2.67 | 2 84 |
| | RI+MI | 3.17 | 3.29 | 3.58 | 3.65 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.40 | 0.44 | 3.22 | 3.15 | 3.31 | 363 |
| ~ | Mean | 2.64 | 2.85 | 2.89 | 3.07 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 2.63 | 2.56 | 279 | 2 03 |
| | Ro+Mo | 2.01 | 2.17 | 2.15 | 2.34 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 1.97 | 1.98 | 2.18 | 2 16 |
| Over all | Ro+M1 | 2.15 | 2.42 | 2.29 | 2.54 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 2.41 | 2.24 | 2.31 | 2 54 |
| mean | R1+Mo | 2.44 | 2.58 | 2.58 | 5.69 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 2.58 | 2.33 | 2.48 | 2 48 |
| | RI+MI | 2.74 | 2.80 | 3.01 | 3.25 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0 28 | 0.40 | 3.01 | 300 | 2 14 | 3 16 |

Abbreviations: as those stated for Table (2).

dual inoculation with Rhizobium and mycorrhizae than those recorded in treatments inoculated with either of Rhizobium or mycorrhizae. This may be due to the synergistic effect between Rhizobium and VAM fungi.

Regarding the zinc application effect, obtained results show that macronutrients content increased in the treatments sprayed with either 10 or 20 ppm compared to the treatments which no zinc application. The highest plant NPK levels were observed with dual inoculation and zinc application (20 ppm) and this was observed in the two growing seasons. This result can be attributed to the higher N₂-ase activity and mycorrhizal root infection recorded in such case (Table, 2).

With respect to the interaction effect, data in Table (5) clearly show that dual inoculation with Rhizobium and mycorrhizae combined with zinc foliar application gave the highest macro-nutrients content in shoots of mungbean plants than either mungbean inoculation or zinc foliar application solely. The highest plant NPK content were obtained in the treatment of dual inoculation combined with zinc foliar application in a concentration of 20 ppm.

These results are confirmed those obtained by Abadi et al (1995) and Amara and Nasr (1995) who found that combined inoculation of N₂-fixing bacteria and phosphate dissolvers + zinc application gave the highest macro-nutrients content of plant shoots.

Data also indicate that macronutrients content of mungbean shoots was higher during flowering than vegetative stage. This was observed in all treatments as well as in the two growing seasons. The higher records of macro-nutrients content during flowering stage is likely to be due to the increase in ammoniacal, nitrate nitrogen and available phosphorus in rhizosphere soil which was observed during flowering stage under different treatments (Table, 3). Generally, macronutrients content in mungbean shoots were higher in the 2nd season than in the 1st one. This difference between the two seasons may be due to the changes in the climatic conditions.

Effect of zinc foliar application, dual inoculation and their interaction on micro-nutrients content in shoots of mungbean plants

It is clear from data presented in Table (6) that micro-nutrients (iron, zinc and copper) content of mungbean shoots were remarkably increased in the treatments inoculated with either *Rhizobium* or mycorrhizae compared to uninoculated ones. Mungbean shoots contained higher concentrations of micro-nutrients in the treatments inoculated with *Rhizobium* than those detected in the treatments inoculated with mycorrhizae. The same trend was recorded in both growing seasons and different growth stages of mungbean plants.

The highest micro-nutrients content was observed in the case of dual inoculation compared to the application of either *Rhizobium* or VAM inoculation. These results are in harmony with those reported by Parasad & Ram (1991) and Abadi et al (1995) who found that dual fixation, mycorrhizal colonization and increased their macro and micro-nutrients content. inoculation of legumes with symbiotic N₂-fixers and mycorrhizae enhanced N₂-.

Table 6. Effect of zinc foliar application, dual inoculation and their interaction on micro-nutrients content in shoots of mungbean plants

| | | | Iron (p | pm) | THEFT |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Zinc | Dual - | Vegetati | ve stage | Flowerin | g stage |
| spraying | inoculation | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 |
| | Ro+Mo | 800 | 819.3 | 867.1 | 936.2 |
| | Ro+M1 | 1600 | 1675 | 1715 | 1893 |
| Zn ₀ | R1+Mo | 1800 | 1837 | 1818 | 1885 |
| | R1+M1 | 2032 | 2103 | 2123 | 2231 |
| M | ean | 1558 | 1609 | 1631 | 1736 |
| | Ro+Mo | 968.1 | 982.5 | 1021.3 | 1133 |
| | Ro+M1 | 1810 | 1896.1 | 2105 | 2153 |
| Zn ₁ | R1+Mo | 2040 | 2156 | 2266 | 2287 |
| | R1+M1 | 2250 | 2268 | 2365 | 2379 |
| N | fean | 1767 | 1826 | 1939 | 1988 |
| | Ro+Mo | 1200 | 1209 | 1280.2 | 1270 |
| | Ro+M1 | 1900 | 1983.2 | 2309 | 2439 |
| Zn ₂ | R1+Mo | 2300 | 2356 | 2370 | 2407 |
| | R1+M1 | 2363 | 2408 | 2460 | 2482 |
| N | Mean | 1941 | 1989 | 2105 | 2150 |
| G-UO I ON | Ro+Mo | 989 | 1004 | 1056 | 1113 |
| Over all | Ro+M1 | 1770 | 1851 | 2043 | 2162 |
| mean | R1+Mo | 2047 | 2116 | 2151 | 2193 |
| | R1+M1 | 2215 | 2260 | 2316 | 2364 |

Table 6. Cont.

| | | | Zinc (| ppm) | |
|-----------------|-------------|---------|-----------|----------|----------|
| Zinc | Dual | Vegetat | ive stage | Flowerin | ng stage |
| spraying | inoculation | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 |
| | Ro+Mo | 118.0 | 120.2 | 134.3 | 145.9 |
| | Ro+M1 | 171.6 | 183.4 | 178.7 | 185.2 |
| Zn ₀ | R1+Mo | 183.5 | 191.5 | 188.5 | 199.1 |
| | R1+M1 | 180.3 | 181.0 | 203.1 | 199.2 |
| Me | an | 163.4 | 169.0 | 176.2 | 182.4 |
| | Ro+Mo | 142.0 | 146.4 | 151.5 | 161.8 |
| | Ro+M1 | 232.0 | 235.1 | 240.3 | 251.6 |
| Zn ₁ | R1+Mo | 260.7 | 282.8 | 269.2 | 290.1 |
| | R1+M1 | 274.6 | 278.1 | 281.1 | 285.7 |
| Me | an | 227.3 | 235.6 | 235.5 | 247.3 |
| | Ro+Mo | 160.0 | 163.7 | 181.7 | 188.5 |
| | Ro+M1 | 243.1 | 238.5 | 249.2 | 262.6 |
| Zn ₂ | R1+Mo | 281.2 | 288.0 | 292.8 | 297.3 |
| | R1+M1 | 295.8 | 293.1 | 305.4 | 319.2 |
| Me | an | 245.0 | 245.8 | 257.3 | 266.9 |
| | Ro+Mo | 140.0 | 143.4 | 155.8 | 165.4 |
| Over all | Ro+M1 | 215.6 | 219.0 | 222.7 | 233.1 |
| mean | R1+Mo | 241.8 | 254.1 | 250.2 | 262.2 |
| | R1+M1 | 250.2 | 250.7 | 263.2 | 268.0 |

Table 6. Cont.

| | | | Copper | (ppm) | |
|-----------------|-------------|---------|-----------|--|----------|
| Zinc spray- | Dual _ | Vegetat | ive stage | THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER. | ng stage |
| ing | inoculation | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 |
| | Ro+Mo | 36.4 | 39.1 | 45.8 | 49.5 |
| | Ro+M1 | 39.3 | 42.5 | 54.5 | 57.2 |
| Zn_0 | R1+Mo | 45.6 | 48.7 | 63.6 | 66.8 |
| | R1+M1 | 62.4 | 63.4 | 82.7 | 94.5 |
| Me | an | 45.9 | 48.4 | 61.7 | 67.0 |
| | Ro+Mo | 42.1 | 45.2 | 50.5 | 53.1 |
| | Ro+M1 | 48.5 | 59.1 | 60.1 | 67.5 |
| Zn_1 | R1+Mo | 56.8 | 58.3 | 79.0 | 87.2 |
| | R1+M1 | 78.3 | 79.6 | 91.7 | 98.1 |
| Me | an | 56.4 | 60.6 | 70.3 | 76.5 |
| | Ro+Mo | 48.2 | 47.5 | 52.0 | 58.6 |
| | Ro+M1 | 56.7 | 63.2 | 68.6 | 71.6 |
| Zn ₂ | R1+Mo | 65.4 | 69.1 | 88.2 | 92.7 |
| | R1+M1 | 84.6 | 86.3 | 102.0 | 108.1 |
| Me | ean | 63.7 | 66.5 | 77.7 | 82.8 |
| | Ro+Mo | 42.2 | 43.9 | 49.4 | 53.7 |
| Over all | Ro+M1 | 48.2 | 54.9 | 61.1 | 65.4 |
| mean | R1+Mo | 55.9 | 58.7 | 76.9 | 82.2 |
| | R1+M1 | 75.1 | 76.4 | 92.1 | 100.2 |

Abbreviations: as those stated for Table (2).

With respect to the effect of zinc application, obtained data emphasize that iron, zinc and copper contents of mungbean shoots were increased in the treatments sprayed with zinc solution either of 10 or 20 ppm concentration. Zinc spraying using a concentration of 20 ppm gave higher content of micro-nutrients than using a concentration of 10 ppm. This trend of results was observed in the two plant growth stages. The highest contents of micro-nutrients in shoots were observed with dual inoculation and zinc application in a concentration of 20 ppm.

Concerning the interaction effect, data indicate that dual inoculation with *Rhizo-bium and* mycorrhizae combined with zinc foliar application gave higher micronutrients shoot content than inoculation or zinc foliar application singularly.

It was also noticed that micronutrients content of mungbean shoots was higher during flowering stage than vegetative one and this was true during the two growing seasons.

Effect of zinc foliar application, dual inoculation and their interaction on yield, yield components and protein yield of mungbean plants

Data given in Table (7) indicate that pods number, weight of pods/plant, weight of seeds/plant, 1000-seed weight, seed yield/fed and biological yield/fed were significantly increased with either rhizobial or mycorrhizal inoculated treatments compared to uninoculated ones and this observation was consistent in the two growing seasons.

These results are in accordance with the findings of Deka & Kakati (1996); Hamed (1998); Tantawy et al (1999) and Hessein (2000) who found that rhizobial inoculation of mungbean significantly increased growth characters, yield and yield components. Concerning the effect of VA-mycorrhizae, Ahmed (1995) and Tarafdar & Rao (1997) found that VAM inoculation stimulated growth, yield and yield components of mungbean plants.

Data also show a significant increase in most studied yield criteria with rhizobial inoculation compared to mycorrhizal inoculation when each of them was used solely.

Data in Table (7) also show a dual inoculation of mungbean plants with
Rhizobium and Glomus aggregatum gave
higher yield and yield components in
comparison to the application of each one
alone. These results are in harmony with
those obtained by Thakur & Panwar
(1995), El-Ghandour et al (1997);
Hamed (1998) and Abd El-Fattah
(2001) who found that dual inoculation of
mungbean and other legumes gave significant increases in yield and yield components compared to individual inoculation.

With respect to zinc foliar application effect, obtained data show that zinc application either in 10 ppm or 20 ppm concentration increased yield and yield components of mungbean plants compared to the treatments which no zinc application. Also, yield and yield components of mungbean were significantly increased when zinc was sprayed in a concentration of 20 ppm in the two growing seasons. The high yield and yield components of mungbean due to dual inoculation and zinc application can be attributed to the high N₂-ase activity, mycorrhizal root infection percentage (Table, 2). In

Table 7. Effect of zinc foliar application, dual inculation and their interation on yield, yield components, seed protin % and protein yield of mungbean plants

| Zinc | Dual | oN pods | No. of pods/plant | Weight (g/p | Weight of pods (g/plant) | Weight of so (g/plant) | Weight of seeds (g/plant) | 1000-sc | 1000-seed weight (g) |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------------------|
| Spraying | mocaranon | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 |
| | Ro+Mo | 18.7a | 21.7h | 10.6h | 10.3a | 8.4a | 7.1h | 39.1i | 38.6a |
| Zn ₀ | Ro+MI | 20.2a | 24.8fg | 11.6fg | 12.0a | 8.3a | 96.6 | 42.3g | 41.8a |
| | R1+Mo | 21.0a | 26.1de | 12.3c | 13.7a | 8.5a | 11.3dc | 43.9e | 43.5a |
| | RI+MI | 22.6a | 27.6c | 13.1c | 18.3a | 8.9a | 12.5bc | 45.8c | 45.2a |
| 2 | Mean | 20.6C | 25.0C | 11.9C | 13.5C | 8.5A | 10.2C | 42.8C | 42.3C |
| | Ro+Mo | 19.0a | 23.8g | 11.3g | 11.4a | 8.0a | 8.8g | 41.4h | 40.1a |
| 7. | Ro+MI | 20.8a | 25.1cf | 11.6fg | 12.7a | 8.4a | 10.9c | 42.9f | 42.2a |
| - Ciril | RI+Mo | 21.5a | 26.3d | 12.4g | 15.2a | 8.6a | 11.9cd | 44.0e | 44.0a |
| | RI+MI | 23.4a | 29.39 | 13.8b | 19.8a | 9.1a | 13.1b | 46.6b | 46.0a |
| N | Mean | 21.2B | 26.1B | 12.3B | 14.8B | 8.5A | 11.2B | 43.7B | 43.1B |
| | Ro+Mo | 19.5a | 24.5fg | 11.4g | 12.0a | 5.1a | 9.6fg | 41.9g | 41.1a |
| Zn, | Ro+M1 | 21.2a | 26.3d | 11.8g | 14.2a | 8.5a | 11.6de | 43.1f | 42.8a |
| | RI+Mo | 22.3a | 26.8cd | 12.7d | 16.2a | 8.8a | 12.1cd | 44.9d | 44.0a |
| | RI+MI | 24.7a | 31.2a | 14.7a | 21.5a | 9.6a | 15.2a | 48.3a | 46.9a |
| N | Mean | 21.9A | 27.2A | 12.7A | 16.0A | 8.7A | 12.1A | 44.5A | 43.7A |
| | Ro+Mo | 19.1B | 23.3D | 11.1D | 11.2D | 8.2C | 8.5D | 40.8D | 39.9D |
| Over all | Ro+M1 | 20.7C | 25.4C | 17.7C | 12.9C | 8.4BC | 10.8C | 42.8C | 42.3C |
| mean | RI+Mo | 21.6B | 26.4B | 12.5B | 15.0B | 8.6B | 11.8B | 44.3B | 43.8B |
| | RI+MI | 23.6A | 29.3A | 13.9A | 19.9A | 9.2A | 13 6A | 46 9A | 46 0A |

Table 7. Cont.

| Zinc | Dual | Seed yield (kg/fed) | yield fed) | Biological y (ton/fed) | Biological yield (ton/fed) | Protein pe | Protein percentage in seeds | Protei (kg | Protein yield (kg/fcd) |
|-----------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| spraying | inoculation | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 |
| | Ro+Mo | 759g | 763h | 3.23d | 3.51h | 26.63a | 27.25e | 203.0a | 207.4h |
| | Ro+M1 | 845def | 917efg | 4.1bc | 4.14fg | 28.23a | 29.40cd | 238.4a | 269.7fg |
| Zn ₀ | RI+Mo | 872cd | 960de | 4.22abc | 4.30ef | 28.23a | 29.67cd | 247.6a | 284.8de |
| | RI+MI | 938b | 1016bc | 4.51abc | 4.68bc | 29.17a | 30.23bc | 273.5a | 307.2c |
| | Mean | 853C | 913B | 3.99B | 4.16C | 28.06A | 29.14B | 240.6B | 267.3C |
| | Ro+Mo | 3618 | 893g | 3.80cd | 4.03g | 27.75a | 29.08d | 227.2a | 259g |
| | Ro+M1 | 865cde | 949def | 4.13abc | 4.20efg | 28.25a | 29.52cd | 244.3a | 280ef |
| Zni | R1+Mo | 883c | 973d | 4.29abc | 4.43de | 28.38a | 29.58cd | 250.5a | 288.4de |
| | RI+MI | 977a | 1045b | 4.69ab | 4.83ab | 29.40a | 30.70ab | 287.5a | 321.1b |
| | Mean | 886B | 965A | 4.23A | 4.37B | 28.44A | 29.72A | 252.4A | 287.3B |
| | Ro+Mo | 832ef | 907fg | 3.91c | 4.14fg | 27.70a | 29.50cd | 230.4a | 267.5g |
| | Ro+M1 | 881c | 962de | 4.17abc | 4.31ef | 28.27a | 29.63cd | 249.2a | 285.0de |
| Zn ₂ | R1+Mo | 897c | 985cd | 4.41abc | 4.59cd | 28.67a | 29.88cd | 257.1a | 294.3d |
| | RI+MI | 1010a | 1088a | 4.84a | 5.00a | 28.63a | 31.25a | 299.1a | 339.9a |
| | Mean | 905A | 985A | 4.33A | 4.51A | 28.32A | 30.06A | 258.9A | 296.7A |
| | Ro+Mo | 803D | 854D | 3.64C | 3.89C | 27.36B | 28.61C | 220.2C | 244.8D |
| Over all | Ro+M1 | 864C | 943C | 4.10B | 4.22B | 28.25A | 29.52B | 243.98B | 278.2C |
| mean | R1+Mo | 884B | 973B | 4.30B | 4.44B | 28.42A | 29.71B | 251.7B | 289.2B |
| | RI+MI | 975A | 1050A | 4.68A | 4.83A | 29.07A | 30.73A | 286.7A | 322.7A |

Abbreviations: as those stated for Table (2).

Means followed by the same letter (s) within each column, are not significantly different from each other at 5% level.

addition, higher levels of different Nforms and available phosphorus were detected in rhizosphere of such treatment (Table, 3).

It is also clear that protein percentage of mungbean seeds and protein yield (kg/fed) significantly increased in dually inoculated treatments compared to individual inoculation with either Rhizobium or mycorrhizae. This result confirmed those obtained by Thakur & Ram (1995); El-Ghandour et al (1997) and Mikhaeel et al (2000). Also, protein yield significantly increased as a result of zinc application either in 10 or 20 ppm concentration compared to the treatments which no zinc application. Zinc application in a concentration of 20 ppm gave higher records of protein yield compared to zinc application at 10 ppm and this was obvious in the two growing season.

Obtained results clearly show that mungbean plants inoculated with Rhizobium + mycorrhizae and sprayed with zinc showed significant increases in most yield criteria compared to either mungbean inoculation or zinc foliar application separately. The highly significant increase of yield and yield components was observed in the mungbean plants inoculated with Rhizobium and mycorrhizae accompanied with zinc spraying in a concentration of 20 ppm.

Obtained data obviously show that yield and yield components as well as protein yield/fed were higher in the 2nd season than in the 1st one. Again, such differences between the two growing seasons may be due to the changes in the climatic conditions.

CONCLUSION

Summing up, it can be concluded that mungbean inoculation with the specific strains of rhizobia resulted in higher growth performance and yield. Such application can be reduced inorganic nitrogen fertilization requirements and consequently minimize the environmental pollution by using less chemical fertilizers. Mungbean inoculation with mycorrhizae increased phosphorus availability, macro and micro-nutrients uptake and remarkably improved plant growth.

Zinc foliar application was found to possitive effect of strengthen the biofertilization. So, dual inoculation of Rhizobium with and mungbean mycorrhizae accompanied with zinc foliar application resulted considerable improvement of mungbean growth, yield and yield components as well as protein yield.

REFERENCES

Abadi, Dawlat, N.; M.H. Hegazy and Faiza, K. Abd El-Fattah (1995). The interaction effect of inoculation and zinc application on nodulation, growth and vield of soybean. Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo., 40 (1): 107-116. Abd El-Fattah, F.K. (2001). Stimulatory effect of Azospirillum and Azotobacter on symbiotic efficiency between Bradyrhizobium and soybean under different rates of nitrogen fertilization. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 26(6): 3961-3973. Abd El-Ghaffar, S.A. and F.A. Sherif (1995). Response of some new varieties of mungbean to inoculation under Egyptian condition. J. Agric. Mansoura Univ., 22 (8): 2551 - 2563.

Abou-Aly, H.E. and A.O. Gomaa (2002). Influence of combined inoculation with diazotrophs and phosphate solubilizers on growth, yeild and volatile oil content of coriander plants (Coriandrum sativum L). Bull. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 35: 93-114.

Ahmed, M.H. (1995). Compatibility and coselection of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobia for tropical legumes. Special Issue on arbuscular mycorrhizae. Review in Biotechnology, 15(3-4): 229-239.

Amara, A.M. and S.A. Nasr (1995). Impact of foliar application with biofertilizers and micronutrients on the growth and yield of *Bradyrhizobium* inoculated soybean plants. *Annals of Agric. Sci., Ain-Shams Univ., Cairo, 40* (2): 567-578.

A.O.A.C., Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (1980). Official Methods of Analysis. 10th Ed. Washington, D.C., U.S.A. p. 832.

A.P.H.A, American Public Health Association (1992). Standard Methods for the examination of water and waste water. Washington, D.C., U.S.A.

Attia, A.N.; A.E. Sharief; M.H. El-Hindi and Abeer El-Ward, A. Ibrahim, (1997). Response of mungbean to Rhizobium inoculation, N- fertilization and plant density. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 22(8): 2551-2563.

Black, C.A.; D.O. Evans; L.E. Ensminger; J.L. White; F.E. Clark and R.C. Dinauer (1982). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. 2nd Ed., pp. 62-68, Chemical and microbiological properties. Soil Sci. of Am: Inch. Publ., Madison, Wisconsin, U. S. A.

Bremner, J.M. and D.R. Keeny (1965).
Steam distillation method for

determination of ammonium, nitrate and nitrite. Annals Chem. Acta 32: 485-495.

Daniels, B.A. and H.D. Skipper (1982).

Methods for recovery and quantitative estimation of propagules from soil. In Methods and Principles of Mycorrhizal Research. Am. Phytopathological Society, pp. 29-35.

Deka, N.C.H. and N.H. Kakati (1996). Effect of *Rhizobium* strains, methods of inoculation and levels of phosphorus on mungbean. *Legume Res.*, 19(1): 33-39. Dewis, G. and F. Freitas (1970). Physical and chemical methods of soil

Physical and chemical methods of soil and water analysis. F.A.O., Bull., No (10).

Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F. test. Biometrics, 11:11-24.

El-Ghandour, I.A.; Y.G.M. Galal and S.M. Soliman (1997). Yield and N₂ – fixation of groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) in response to inoculation with selected Bradyrhizobium strains and mycorrhizal fungi. Egypt. J. Microbiol., 32(4): 467-480.

Fares, Clair, N. (1997). Growth and yield of wheat plants as affected by biofertilization with associative, symbiotic N₂-fixers and endomycorrhizae in the presence of different P-fertilizers. Annals of Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 42 (1): 51-60.

Gerdmann, J.W. and T.H. Nicolson (1963). Spores of mycorrhizal Endogone species extracted form soil by wet sieving and decanting. Trans. Brit. Mycol. Soc., 46: 235-244.

Gomaa, A.M. and M.A. El Kholy (1999). Partial replacement of chemical fertilizers by biofertilizers in mungbean production. Annals of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, Egypt, 37(4): 2419 - 2434.

Hamed, M.F. (1998). Response of two mungbean varieties under irrigation intervals and certain fertilization treatments. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, Egypt, 36(1): 31-42.

Hanafy, Ehsan, A; N.A. Neweigy R.A.; Zaghloul and El-Sayeda, H. El-Badawy (1998). Inoculation efficiency of rice plants with Azolla as a biofertilizer in the presence of different levels of phosphorus. Arab. Univ. J. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 6(1): 49-76.

Hardy, R.W.F.; R.C. Burns and R.D. Holsten (1973). Application of the acetylene ethylene assay for measurement of nitrogen fixation. Soil Biol. Biochem., 5: 47-81.

Hessein, A.M.I. (2000). Evaluation of some Mungbean Varieties under Certain Agricultural Treatments. pp. 64-68 M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Ain Shams University, Cairo.

Jackson, M.L. (1973). Soil Chemical Analysis. pp. 46-52. Prentic-Hall of India, Private New Delhi.

Johal, R.K. and V.P.S. Chahal (1994). Effect of *Rhizobium* inoculation and molybdenum on N₂-fixation and growth characteristics of mungbean (Vigna radiata L.). Indian J. of Ecology, 21(2): 160-162.

Mikhaeel, F.T.; A.M. Shalaby and Mona, M. Hanna (2000). Dinitrogen fixation and nitrogen assimilation as influenced by dual (VA- mycorrhizal fungi and Rhizobium) inoculation in soybean plants. Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 45(1): 67-77.

Mosse, B. and M. Giovanetti (1980). An evaluation of techniques for measuring vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal infection in roots. New Phytopathology, 84: 489-500.

Neweigy, N.A.; Ehsan, A. Hanafy; R. A. Zaghloul and El-Sayeda, H. El-Badawy (1997). Response of sorghum to inoculation with Azospirillum, organic and inorganic fertilization in the presence of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms. Annals of Agric Sci., Moshtohor, Egypt, 35(3): 1383-1401.

Page, A.L.; R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeny (1982). Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, 2nd Ed. pp. 60-64, Am Soc. Agronomy, Inc. Mad. Wisconsin, U.S. A. Parasad, J. and H. Ram (1991). Uptake of native potassium in mungbean as affected by zince, copper and Rhizobium inoculation. J. of Maharashtra Agric. Universities, 16(1): 117-118.

Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1989). Statistical Methods. 8th Ed., Iowa State Univ., Press, Iowa, U.S.A.

Tantawy, A.A.; Y.T. Abd El-Mageed and S.A. El-Shobaky (1999). Effect of Rhizobium inoculation and number of harvesting on some mungbean cultivars. J. Agric. Sci. Mansura Univ., 24(11): 6273 – 6281.

Tarafdar, J.C. and A.V. Rao (1997). Response of arid legumes to VAM fungal inoculation. Symbiosis Rehovot, 22(3): 265-274.

Thakur, A.K. and J.D.S. Panwar (1995). Effect of Bradyrhizobium – VAM interaction on growth and yield in mungbean under field conditions. Indian J. of Plant Physiology, 38(1): 62-65.

Vincent, J.M. (1970). Manual for the Practical Study of Root-Nodule Bacteria. IBP Handbook No. 15, pp. 14-25. Blackwell Sci. Pub., Oxford.

Yassen, A.M. (1993). Studies on Endomycorrhizae in Egypt. pp. 32-40. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt.

Zaghloul, R.A. (1999). Effectiveness of dual inoculation with Azospirillum and phosphate solubilizing microorganisms

on growth and yield of Zea mays. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 26 (4): 1005-1025.

بحلة حوليات العلوم الزراعية ، كلية الزراعة، جامعة عين شمس، القاهرة، م٧٤، ع(٢)، ٥٠١ - ٥٠٥، ٢٠٠٢

تأثير التلقيح المزدوج بالميكوريزا والريزوبيوم والرش بالزنك على نمو ومحصول فول المانج

[44]

راشد عبد الفتاح زغلول' - محمد عبد المؤمن الغزولي' - صديق عبد العزيز صديق محيسن"

١- قسم النبات الزراعي- كليـــة الزراعـــة بمشتهـر- جامعة الزقازيق- مصر
 ٢-معهد بحوث الأراضى والمياه والبيئة - مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزة - مصر
 ٣- قسم المحاصيــل - كليــة الزراعــة بمشتهــر - جامعــة الزقــازيق - مصر

أقيمت تجربتان حقليتان خلال موسمى أقيمت تجربتان حقليتان خلال موسمى المردوب بكلية الزراعة بمشتهر لدراسة تأثير التلقيح المزدوج بالبكتريا التكافلية المثبتة لللزوت المزدوج بالبكتريا التكافلية المثبتة لللزوت (Mungbean strain) وفطر الميكوريزا الداخلية Mhizobium sp. (Mungbean strain) وذلك مع الرش بالزنك على تكوين العقد، وذلك مع الرش بالزنك على تكوين العقد، نشاط انزيم النيتروجينيز، نسبة إصابة الجذور بالميكوريزا والنمو والمحصول لنباتات فول المانج.

أوضحت نتائج هذه الدراسة أن التلقيـــح المزدوج بالريزوبيوم والميكوريــزا أعطــى قيما أعلى من حيث عــدد العقـد والأوزان الجافه لها، نشاط إنزيم النيتروجينيز، نســبة

إصابة الجذور بالميكوريزا وذلك بالمقارنــة بالتلقيح الفـردى بـاي مـن الريزوبيـوم والميكوريزا. أيضا، لوحظ من نتـائج هـذه الدراسة أن القياسات سابقة الذكر قد ازدادت عند الرش بالزنك خصوصـا عنـد الـرش بتركيز ٢٠ جزء في المليون.

بخصوص محتوی النیستروجین الأمونیومی والنستراتی فی منطقیة الریزوسفیر فلقد أوضحت النتائج أن المعاملات التی لقحت بالریزوبیا بمفردها أظهرت ترکیزا أعلی من النیستروجین الأمونیومی والنتراتی بالمقارنة بالمعاملات التی لقحت بفطر المیکوریزا و بینما المعاملات التی لقحت بالمیکوریزا قد

أظهرت تركيزات أعلى من الفوسفور الميسر ومعدل انطلاق ثانى أكسيد الكربون الناتج من نشاط الميكروبات فى منطقة الريزوسفير.

لوحظت فروقاً معنوية في صفات النمو معنوياً التي دُرِسَت حيث ازدادت صفات النمو معنوياً عند التلقيح المزدوج مقارناً بالتلقيح الفردي وذلك خلال موسمي الدراسة. أيضا، لوحظ تحسن في صفات النمو عند الرش بالزنك سواء بتركيز ١٠ أو ٢٠ جزء في المليون وذلك بالمقارنة بعدم الرش بالزنك.

كذلك أوضحت نتائج هذه الدراسة أن محتوى المجموع الخضرى لنباتات فول المانج من العناصر المغذية الكبرى (نيتروجين وفوسفور وبوتاسيوم) والعناصر الصغرى

تحكيم: أ.د محمد علي البرلسي أ.د صلاح الدين عبد الرزاق شفشق

(حدید وزنك ونحاس) كان أعلى فى حالىة التلقیح المزدوج والرش بـالزنك بتركىيز ٢٠ جزء في المليون.

أيضاً، وجد أن تركيز العناصر المغذية سواء الكبرى أو الصغرى قد ازداد خلال طور الإزهار وذلك بالمقارنة بطور النمو الخضرى لنباتات فول المانج.

اعلى محصول من البذور ، المحصول البيولوجى ومكوناته وكذلك محصول البيولوجى ومكوناته وكذلك محصول البروتين (كجم/فدان) لوحيظ عند التلقيح المزدوج بالريزوبيوم والميكورهيزا حيث كان ذلك أكثر وضوحاً عند الرش بالزنك بتركيز ٢٠ جزء في المليون.